

ORIGINAL

1 Kelly A. Evans
 2 Nevada Bar No. 7691
 3 SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.
 4 3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1000
 5 Las Vegas, NV 89109
 6 Blake A. Field VS Google, ~~Tele~~ Telephone (702) 784-5200
 7 Facsimile (702) 784-5400

2005 JUN 14 AM 11:13

Doc. 30

8 David H. Kramer (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
 9 William O'Callaghan (Admitted Pro Hac Vice)
 10 WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
 11 Professional Corporation
 12 650 Page Mill Road
 13 Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050
 14 Telephone (650) 493-9300
 15 Facsimile (650) 493-6811

16 Attorneys for Defendant GOOGLE INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

17 BLAKE A. FIELD,

No. CV-S-04-0413-RCJ-LRL

18 Plaintiff,

**DEFENDANT'S REPLY BRIEF IN
 SUPPORT OF MOTION TO EXTEND
 DISCOVERY**

19 vs.

20 GOOGLE INC.,

21 Defendant.

22 AND RELATED COUNTERCLAIMS

23
 24
 25
 26
 27
 28

30

Snell & Wilmer
 L.L.P.
 LAW OFFICES
 3800 HOWARD HUGHES PARKWAY, SUITE 1000
 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89109
 (702) 784-5200

I. INTRODUCTION

Plaintiff and Defendant agreed to extend the date required for expert disclosures in light of other modifications to the discovery scheduling, including the timing of depositions.¹ Plaintiff subsequently *reneged* on this agreement and Defendant filed the present motion to extend the deadlines to allow Defendant's expert – Dr. John R. Levine – sufficient time to consider all of the evidence prior to filing his report.

However, in light of the pending deadline for expert reports, Dr. Levine completed an expert report in a short time frame based on the available evidence. Defendant designated Dr. Levine, and served a copy of his expert report, under the existing schedule. Plaintiff did not designate an expert and neither party has designated rebuttal experts.

Defendant's motion – predicated on the need for additional time for Dr. Levine to complete his expert report in light of the fact that discovery was still pending – is now moot. Defendant withdraws its request to extend the discovery deadlines. However, Defendant reserves the right to provide a supplemental report from Dr. Levine based on the deposition of Plaintiff Fjeld, which was completed on June 9, 2005.²

8

• • •

• • •

• ■ ■

10

¹ Plaintiff asserts – without evidentiary support – that he initially “agreed **to consider**” extending the time frame for expert designations. See Plaintiff’s Opposition at 1-2 (emphasis added). In fact, Plaintiff **agreed** to extend the time frame for expert designations, and later reneged on this agreement. See Kavanaugh Decl. ¶ 4.

² Plaintiff asserts, incorrectly, that Plaintiff's deposition could not be relevant to Dr. Levine's report, speculating that Dr. Levine's report would be limited to the Digital Millennium Copyright Act ("DMCA"). In fact, Dr. Levine's report is not limited to the DMCA and Dr. Levine should be entitled to consider all of the evidence. Moreover, the DMCA includes a number of considerations that relate to Plaintiff including, for example, whether Plaintiff established rules concerning the "refreshing, reloading, or other updating of information," whether Plaintiff utilized technology which returns information to the Plaintiff when a Web page is accessed, whether Plaintiff limits access to the material, and whether Plaintiff has adopted standard technical measures as defined by the statute. See 17 U.S.C. §§ 512(b)(2)(B), (C), (D), and 512(i)(2). As such, Plaintiff's deposition testimony is relevant to the DMCA and Dr. Levine should be allowed to consider such evidence in rendering his opinion.

II. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Google withdraws its requests that this Court grant an extension to the existing discovery schedule.

Dated: June 14, 2005

SNELL & WILMER LLP

By:

Kelly A. Evans
Nevada Bar No. 7691
3800 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1000
Las Vegas, NV 89109

and

David H. Kramer
William O'Callaghan
WILSON SONSINI GOODRICH & ROSATI
650 Page Mill Road
Palo Alto, CA 94304-1050

Attorneys for GOOGLE, INC.

Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.
3580 HOWARD HUGHES PARKWAY, SUITE 1100
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89109
(702) 784-5200

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing **DEFENDANT'S REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO EXTEND DISCOVERY** was served this 17 day of June, 2005, by placing same in the United States mail, postage prepaid, addressed to the following:

Blake A. Field
3750 Doris Place
Las Vegas, NV 89120
Pro Se Plaintiff

Judith S. Leaser
An employee of Snell & Wilmer L.L.P.

87197.1

Snell & Wilmer
1800 HOWARD HUGHES PARKWAY, SUITE 1000
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89109
702/785-5200